arXiv:1402.6985v1 [physics.plasm-ph] 27 Feb 2014

Asymptotic theory of double layer and shielding of electric field at the edge of illuminated plasma

M. S. Benilov[1] and D. M. Thomas[2]
[1]Departamento de Física, CCCEE, Universidade da Madeira, Largo do Município, 9000 Funchal, Portugal
[2]Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, Prince Consort Road, London, SW7 2BW, UK

Abstract

The method of matched asymptotic expansions is applied to the problem of a collisionless plasma generated by UV illumination localized in a central part of the plasma in the limiting case of small Debye length λ_D. A second-approximation asymptotic solution is found for the double layer positioned at the boundary of the illuminated region and for the un-illuminated plasma for the plane geometry. Numerical calculations for different values of λ_D are reported and found to confirm the asymptotic results. The net integral space charge of the double layer is asymptotically small, although in the plane geometry it is just sufficient to shield the ambipolar electric field existing in the illuminated region and thus to prevent it from penetrating into the un-illuminated region. The double layer has the same mathematical nature as the intermediate transition layer separating an active plasma and a collisionless sheath, and the underlying physics is also the same. In essence, the two layers represent the same physical object: a transonic layer.

1 Introduction

In the first part of this work [1] a collisionless plasma, generated by UV illumination localized in a central part of the plasma, was analyzed. The ions were assumed to be cold and the fluid description was used. Both plane and cylindrical geometries were treated. An approximate analytical solution was found under the approximation of quasi-neutrality and the exact solution was computed numerically for one value of the Debye length λ_D for each geometry, this value being much smaller than widths of both illuminated and un-illuminated regions. It was found that the ions generated in the illuminated region are accelerated up to approximately the Bohm speed inside the illuminated region. In plane geometry, the ions flow across the un-illuminated region towards the near-wall positive space-charge sheath with a speed which is virtually constant and slightly exceeds the Bohm

speed. In cylindrical geometry, the ions continue to be accelerated in the un-illuminated region and enter the near-wall space-charge sheath with a speed significantly exceeding the Bohm speed. In both geometries, a double layer forms where the illuminated and un-illuminated regions meet.

A very unusual, if not unique, feature that this simple system reveals in plane geometry is the coexistence of two quasi-neutral plasmas of the same size with the ambipolar electric field being confined in one of them (the illuminated plasma), while the other (the un-illuminated plasma) is to high accuracy electric field-free and uniform. The latter is particularly surprising since in all known models a near-wall space-charge sheath is bordered by a nonuniform quasi-neutral presheath where the ions going to the sheath are accelerated and the voltage drop is of the order of the electron temperature measured in volts. (We set aside cases where the ions are produced on the surface, as in Q-machines or experiments with heated cavities [2], or inside the sheath, as in near-cathode layers of discharges burning in cathode vapour [3].) Moreover, the difference between illuminated and un-illuminated regions in terms of ion momentum is in the presence or absence of ionization friction force, and the fact that the ion fluid is accelerated in the illuminated plasma, where the ionization friction force is present, and is not accelerated in the un-illuminated plasma, where the friction force is absent, is somehow counterintuitive.

The above feature is extremely interesting, also from the methodological point of view; note that the classical Bohm sheath solution [4] is sufficient to describe both the sheath and the adjacent (un-illuminated) plasma in such situation. A key to this feature is the double layer, which shields the ambipolar electric field induced in the illuminated region and prevents it from penetrating the un-illuminated region.

The quasi-neutral analytical solution [1], while clearly being useful, does not describe the double layer, hence a more sophisticated treatment is needed in order to fully understand this feature and the underlying physics. It is clear that this feature originates in the smallness of λ_D, therefore the relevant procedure is to find an asymptotic solution to the considered problem in the limiting case of small λ_D. The technique of choice to this end is the method of matched asymptotic expansions (e.g., [5–10]), which is a standard tool in problems with singular perturbations. Note that this method has been successfully used in the theory of plasma-wall transitions in collisionless plasmas; e.g., reviews [11–15]. In particular, relevant in the present context are works [16, 17], where a transition layer separating active plasma and a collisionless sheath was introduced; [18], where a more adequate and simpler mathematical description of this layer was suggested; and [19], where the plasma column in electronegative gases was studied including in the exceptional case where the column comprises an inner plasma, a double layer, an outer plasma, and a near-wall sheath.

An approximate analytical solution in the limiting case of small λ_D found by means of the method of matched asymptotic expansions is reported in this paper, which is thus complementary to [1]. Also reported are results of numerical calculations for different values of λ_D. Questions to be answered include: what is the physics of the double layer; why the ion speed in the un-illuminated plasma deviates from the Bohm speed; how this deviation can be estimated.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Equations and boundary conditions are briefly described in Sec. 2. An asymptotic solution for plane geometry is given and compared with numerical results in Sec. 3. An asymptotic solution for cylindrical geometry is outlined in Sec. 4. A concluding discussion is given in Sec. 5. Mathematical details are placed in two Appendices in order not to overload the text.

2 Equations and boundary conditions

We refer to [1] for the description of the physical situation. In brief, we consider a plane or cylindrical plasma produced by UV radiation. Governing equations are written in the fluid approximation and are well-known and the same as in [1]; they include the ion conservation equation, the ion momentum equation written without account of collisions, the equilibrium equation for the electrons, and the Poisson equation:

$$\frac{1}{x^\beta}\frac{d}{dx}\left(x^\beta n_i v_i\right) = G, \tag{1}$$

$$m_i n_i v_i \frac{dv_i}{dx} = e n_i E - G m_i v_i. \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(n_e k T_e\right) + e n_e E = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0}{x^\beta}\frac{d\left(x^\beta E\right)}{dx} = e\left(n_i - n_e\right), \tag{4}$$

where $\beta = 0$ and x is the distance from the plane of symmetry for plane geometry, $\beta = 1$ and x is the distance from the axis for cylindrical geometry, G is the ionization rate, and other designations are the usual ones.

It is convenient for the purposes of this work to replace the ion momentum equation Eq. (2) by an equivalent equation which can be derived following [18, 20] and reads

$$\left(\frac{v_i}{c_s^2} - \frac{1}{v_i}\right)\frac{dv_i}{dx} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{e n_i}\left\{\frac{d}{dx}\left[\frac{1}{x^\beta}\frac{d\left(x^\beta E\right)}{dx}\right] + \frac{eE}{k T_e x^\beta}\frac{d\left(x^\beta E\right)}{dx}\right\} - \frac{G}{c_s n_i}\left(\frac{v_i}{c_s} + \frac{c_s}{v_i}\right) + \frac{\beta}{x}. \tag{5}$$

Here $c_s = \sqrt{k T_e / m_i}$ is the Bohm speed. Note that for $\beta = 0$ this equation coincides with the corresponding equation from [18] except that Eq. (5) does not account for ion-atom collisions.

We consider a situation where the illumination is localized in a central part of the plasma and is uniform there, so $G = G_0$ for $0 \le x < \Delta$ and $G = 0$ for $\Delta < x \le L$, where G_0 is a (positive) constant, Δ is the halfwidth of irradiated region and L is the halfwidth of the system in the plane case, and Δ is the radius of the irradiated region and L is the discharge tube radius in the cylindrical case. Note that n_i, n_e, v_i, and electrostatic potential φ are, of course, continuous at $x = \Delta$; the derivatives $d\varphi/dx$ and $d^2\varphi/dx^2$ are also continuous, which follows from Eq. (4); the derivative dn_e/dx is continuous as well,

which follows from Eq. (3); the derivatives dv_i/dx, dn_i/dx, and $d^3\varphi/dx^3$ are discontinuous, which follows from, respectively, Eqs. (2), (1), and (4).

Boundary conditions are also well-known and the same as those in [1]:

$$x = 0: \qquad \frac{dn_i}{dx} = 0, \qquad v_i = 0, \qquad \varphi = 0, \qquad E = 0; \tag{6}$$

$$x = L: \qquad n_i v_i = \frac{1}{4} n_e \left(\frac{8kT_e}{\pi m_e} \right)^{1/2}. \tag{7}$$

Eq. (1) can be integrated directly and the result may be written in terms of the Heaviside step function $H(x)$ ($H(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$; $H(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$):

$$n_i v_i = \frac{G_0 x}{1+\beta} + \frac{G_0}{1+\beta} \left(\frac{\Delta^{1+\beta}}{x^\beta} - x \right) H(x - \Delta). \tag{8}$$

Introduce dimensionless variables

$$\xi = \frac{x}{\Delta}, \qquad N_i = \frac{n_i}{n_0}, \qquad N_e = \frac{n_e}{n_0}, \qquad V = \frac{v_i}{c_s}, \qquad \Phi = \frac{e\varphi}{kT_e}, \tag{9}$$

where $n_0 = G_0 \Delta / (1+\beta) c_s$ is a characteristic density of the charged particles. (Note that this normalization differs from the one used in [1] in that it employs normalization factors involving only control parameters.) The governing equations assume the form

$$N_i V = \xi + \left(\frac{1}{\xi^\beta} - \xi \right) H(\xi - 1), \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V^2 - 1}{V} \frac{dV}{d\xi} = \frac{\varepsilon}{N_i} \left\{ -\frac{d}{d\xi} \left[\frac{1}{\xi^\beta} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^\beta \frac{d\Phi}{d\xi} \right) \right] + \frac{d\Phi}{d\xi} \frac{1}{\xi^\beta} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^\beta \frac{d\Phi}{d\xi} \right) \right\} \\ - \frac{1+\beta}{\xi} \left(V^2 + 1 \right) H(1 - \xi) + \frac{\beta}{\xi}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{dN_e}{d\xi} - N_e \frac{d\Phi}{d\xi} = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\xi^\beta} \frac{d}{d\xi} \left(\xi^\beta \frac{d\Phi}{d\xi} \right) = N_e - N_i, \tag{13}$$

where $\lambda_D = (\varepsilon_0 kT_e / e^2 n_0)^{1/2}$ and $\varepsilon = (\lambda_D / \Delta)^2$.

The next section, Sec. 3, is concerned with plane geometry, $\beta = 0$. The asymptotic solution for cylindrical geometry, $\beta = 1$, is outlined in Sec. 4.

3 Asymptotic solution: plane geometry

3.1 Asymptotic structure of the solution

Asymptotic zones that need to be considered may be conveniently illustrated by invoking results of numerical calculations. The calculations have been performed in the same way as in [1] and an example is depicted by solid lines in Fig. 1. One can clearly see four regions with apparently different physics: the illuminated plasma, $0 \leq \xi < 1$; the un-illuminated plasma, $1 < \xi < 2$; a thin intermediate (double) layer positioned in the vicinity of the point $\xi = 1$ and separating the two plasmas; and a thin space-charge sheath positioned at the wall, i.e., at ξ close to 2.

In the course of application of the method of matched asymptotic expansions the same four regions appear as asymptotic zones described by different asymptotic expansions. The illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas are described by straightforward expansions, i.e., the first term of each of these expansions is of the order unity and governed by equations which are obtained from Eqs. (10)-(13) by setting $\varepsilon = 0$. The latter is, of course, consistent with the plasmas in both the illuminated and un-illuminated regions being quasi-neutral.

The double layer is described by the same asymptotic expansion as the one which describes the transition layer separating active plasma and a collisionless sheath [17]. The first term of the expansions of each of the quantities V, N_i, N_e, and Φ is constant. Therefore, the double layer appears only in the second approximation and may be ignored in the first one.

The near-wall space-charge sheath is to the first approximation the well-known Bohm space-charge sheath. Its asymptotic description in the present problem is similar to the description given in [4] and is skipped for brevity.

3.2 The first approximation

The region of illuminated plasma, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, is described by the straightforward expansion

$$V(\xi, \varepsilon) = V_1(\xi) + \alpha_1 V_2(\xi) + \ldots, \tag{14}$$

$$N_i(\xi, \varepsilon) = \frac{\xi}{V_1(\xi)} \left[1 - \alpha_1 \frac{V_2(\xi)}{V_1(\xi)}\right] + \ldots, \tag{15}$$

$$N_e(\xi, \varepsilon) = N_{e1}(\xi) + \alpha_1 N_{e2}(\xi) + \ldots, \tag{16}$$

$$\Phi(\xi, \varepsilon) = \Phi_1(\xi) + \alpha_1 \Phi_2(\xi) + \ldots, \tag{17}$$

where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\varepsilon)$ is a small parameter which is to be found in the course of analysis as a part of solution.

Substituting expansion (14)-(17) into Eqs. (11)-(13), expanding and retaining the leading terms, one obtains equations

$$\frac{V_1^2 - 1}{V_1} \frac{dV_1}{d\xi} = -\frac{1}{\xi}\left(V_1^2 + 1\right), \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{dN_{e_1}}{d\xi} - N_{e1}\frac{d\Phi_1}{d\xi} = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$N_{e1} - \frac{\xi}{V_1} = 0. \tag{20}$$

A solution subject to boundary condition $\Phi_1(0) = 0$ reads

$$V_1 = \frac{C_1\xi}{1+\sqrt{1-(C_1\xi)^2}}, \quad N_{e1} = \frac{1+\sqrt{1-(C_1\xi)^2}}{C_1}, \quad \Phi_1 = \ln\frac{1+\sqrt{1-(C_1\xi)^2}}{2}, \tag{21}$$

where C_1 is an integration constant, $0 < C_1 \leq 1$. Note that $V_1(1)$ (i.e., the value of the function $V_1(\xi)$ for $\xi = 1$) increases with increasing C_1 and its maximum value is attained at $C_1 = 1$ and equals 1.

The region of un-illuminated plasma, $1 < \xi < S = L/\Delta$, is described by the straightforward expansion

$$V(\xi,\varepsilon) = V_3(\xi) + \alpha_2 V_4(\xi) + \ldots, \tag{22}$$

$$N_i(\xi,\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{V_3(\xi)}\left[1 - \alpha_2\frac{V_4(\xi)}{V_3(\xi)}\right] + \ldots, \tag{23}$$

$$N_e(\xi,\varepsilon) = N_{e3}(\xi) + \alpha_2 N_{e4}(\xi) + \ldots, \tag{24}$$

$$\Phi(\xi,\varepsilon) = \Phi_3(\xi) + \alpha_2\Phi_4(\xi) + \ldots, \tag{25}$$

where $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\varepsilon)$ is a small parameter which is to be found as a part of solution. The leading terms of this expansion are governed by the equations

$$\left(V_3 - \frac{1}{V_3}\right)\frac{dV_3}{d\xi} = 0, \tag{26}$$

$$\frac{dN_{e3}}{d\xi} - N_{e3}\frac{d\Phi_3}{d\xi} = 0, \tag{27}$$

$$N_{e3} - \frac{1}{V_3(\xi)} = 0. \tag{28}$$

Expansion (22)-(25) is to be matched with a double layer expansion in the vicinity of the point $\xi = 1$ and with a sheath expansion in the vicinity of the point $\xi = S$. However, the double layer needs to be taken into account only in the second and higher approximations, so the first terms of the expansions describing the illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas should be patched directly, meaning that $V_1(1) = V_3(1)$, $N_{e1}(1) = N_{e3}(1)$, $\Phi_1(1) = \Phi_3(1)$. Solutions to Eqs. (26)-(28) subject to these boundary conditions are trivial: $V_3 = V_1(1)$, $N_{e3} = N_{e1}(1)$, $\Phi_3 = \Phi_1(1)$.

In order that a matching with the sheath expansion be possible, V_3 must satisfy the Bohm criterion, i.e., it should be $V_3 \geq 1$. Since $V_1(1) \leq 1$ as discussed above, it follows that $V_1(1) = V_3 = 1$ and $C_1 = 1$. It follows also that $N_{e3} = 1$, $\Phi_3 = -\ln 2$.

The first-approximation solution is complete now. In [1], the same solution was obtained by patching solutions for the illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas obtained with the use of the condition of quasi-neutrality and the Bohm criterion.

This solution is depicted by the dotted lines in Fig. 1. As expected, it provides a reasonable approximation of the ion speed. However, in the double layer this approximation is not smooth, and the approximation of the electric field is not suitable altogether.

Poor approximation of derivatives of approximate solutions is a deficiency inherent to patching. In the method of matched asymptotic expansions, this deficiency can be removed by going to the second approximation.

3.3 The second approximation

It is natural to seek an asymptotic expansion describing the double layer in the same form as that of the expansion which describes the transition layer separating active plasma and a collisionless sheath [17]:

$$V(\xi,\varepsilon) = 1 + \varepsilon^{1/5} V_5(\xi_5) + \ldots, \tag{29}$$

$$N_i(\xi,\varepsilon) = 1 - \varepsilon^{1/5} V_5(\xi_5) + \ldots, \tag{30}$$

$$N_e(\xi,\varepsilon) = 1 + \varepsilon^{1/5} N_{e5}(\xi_5) + \ldots, \tag{31}$$

$$\Phi(\xi,\varepsilon) = -\ln 2 + \varepsilon^{1/5} \Phi_5(\xi_5) + \ldots, \tag{32}$$

where $\xi_5 = (\xi - 1)/\varepsilon^{2/5}$. The form of this expansion was derived in [17] with the use of considerations stemming from matching and in [18] by means of estimates of different terms of equation (5). A convenient illustration of this expansion is provided by Fig. 1: the expansion implies that variations of the quantities V, N_i, N_e, and Φ in the double layer are of the order of $\varepsilon^{1/5}$ and the electric field is of the order of $\varepsilon^{-1/5}$, and this is consistent with Fig. 1.

Substituting this expansion into Eqs. (11)-(13), expanding and retaining the leading terms, one obtains equations

$$2V_5 \frac{dV_5}{d\xi_5} = -\frac{d^3\Phi_5}{d\xi_5^3} - 2H(-\xi_5), \tag{33}$$

$$\frac{dN_{e5}}{d\xi_5} - \frac{d\Phi_5}{d\xi_5} = 0, \tag{34}$$

$$N_{e5} + V_5 = 0. \tag{35}$$

As seen from Eq. (35), the plasma in the double layer is quasi-neutral not only to the first approximation, but also to the second one. A consequence is that the derivative $dV_5/d\xi_5$ is continuous at $\xi_5 = 0$. Coming back to the discussion of Sec. 2, one can say that the discontinuity of derivative dv_i/dx at $x = \Delta$ occurs not in the first approximation in ε but rather in the second one. The latter is consistent with the second term on the

rhs of Eq. (2), which is responsible for the discontinuity, being of the order of $\varepsilon^{1/5}$, i.e., asymptotically small, with respect to the first term.

Eqs. (33) and (34) may be integrated term by term to give

$$V_5^2 + \frac{d^2\Phi_5}{d\xi_5^2} + 2\xi_5 H(-\xi_5) = C_2^2, \tag{36}$$

$$N_{e5} - \Phi_5 = C_3, \tag{37}$$

where C_2^2 and C_3 are integration constants. Note that since every term on the lhs of these equations is continuous at $\xi = 0$, the constants C_2^2 and C_3 do not switch their values between the regions $\xi > 0$ and $\xi < 0$.

The system of Eqs. (36), (37), (35) may be reduced to a single equation, for example, for the unknown V_5:

$$V_5^2 = \frac{d^2V_5}{d\xi_5^2} - 2\xi_5 H(-\xi_5) + C_2^2. \tag{38}$$

A solution of this equation subject to relevant boundary conditions, which follow from the van Dyke asymptotic matching principle [5], is found in Appendix A and depicted in Fig. 2 by the solid line for $\xi_5 \le 0$ and dash-dotted line for $\xi_5 \ge 0$. (Here $X = \xi_5 - 0.2254$.) The constant C_2 is found to be equal to 0.6714. Also shown in Fig. 2 is the function $V_5(X)$ referring to the transition layer separating active plasma and a collisionless sheath [17]. The two functions coincide in the range $X \le -0.2254$ and differ for bigger X.

We will need for subsequent asymptotic matching the two-term asymptotic expansion of the functions $V_5(\xi_5)$ and $N_5(\xi_5)$ for $\xi \to -\infty$, the three-term asymptotic expansion of the function $\Phi_5(\xi_5)$ for $\xi \to -\infty$, and the one-term expansion of all these functions for $\xi \to \infty$. These expansions may be readily found:

$$\xi_5 \to -\infty: \quad V_5 = -\sqrt{-2\xi_5} - \frac{C_2^2}{\sqrt{-8\xi_5}} + \ldots, \quad N_{e5} = \sqrt{-2\xi_5} + \frac{C_2^2}{\sqrt{-8\xi_5}} + \ldots, \tag{39}$$
$$\Phi_5 = \sqrt{-2\xi_5} - C_3 + \frac{C_2^2}{\sqrt{-8\xi_5}} + \ldots;$$

$$\xi_5 \to \infty: \quad V_5 \to C_2, \quad N_{e5} \to -C_2, \quad \Phi_5 \to -C_2 - C_3. \tag{40}$$

Let us now consider the asymptotic matching of expansions (29)-(32) and (14)-(17) accounting for two terms in each expansion. Making use of Eq. (39), one finds that the matching is possible provided that $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon^{2/5}$, $C_3 = 0$, and

$$V_2 = -\frac{C_2^2}{\sqrt{8(1-\xi)}} + \ldots, \quad N_{e2} = \frac{C_2^2}{\sqrt{8(1-\xi)}} + \ldots, \quad \Phi_2 = \frac{C_2^2}{\sqrt{8(1-\xi)}} + \ldots \tag{41}$$

for $\xi \to 1 - 0$. Eq. (41) represents a set of boundary conditions for differential equations governing functions V_2, N_{e2}, and Φ_2, which can be obtained by substituting expansion (14)-(17) into Eqs. (11)-(13), expanding, and retaining second-order terms. However, these functions represent a correction of the order of $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon^{2/5}$, which is higher than the

order to which the solution is known in the double layer ($\varepsilon^{1/5}$). For this reason, we leave finding these functions beyond the scope of this work and only note that the boundary conditions (41) are compatible with the differential equations.

Let us now consider the asymptotic matching of expansions (29)-(32) and (22)-(25) accounting for two terms in each expansion. Taking into account Eq. (40), one finds that the matching is possible provided that $\alpha_2 = \varepsilon^{1/5}$ and

$$V_4(1) = C_2, \quad N_{e4}(1) = -C_2, \quad \Phi_4(1) = -C_2. \tag{42}$$

Substituting expansions (22)-(25) into Eqs. (11)-(13), expanding, and retaining second-order terms, one obtains equations

$$V_4 \frac{dV_4}{d\xi} = 0, \tag{43}$$

$$\frac{dN_{e4}}{d\xi} - \frac{d\Phi_4}{d\xi} = 0, \quad N_{e4} + V_4 = 0. \tag{44}$$

Solution of Eqs. (43) and (44) subject to the boundary conditions Eq. (42) is trivial: $V_4 = C_2$, $N_{e4} = -C_2$, $\Phi_4 = -C_2$.

The above results ensure a description of the illuminated plasma region to the double layer to the un-illuminated region with the error of the order of $\varepsilon^{2/5}$ (which is, presumably, the order of the third terms of the expansions describing the double layer and the un-illuminated region). These results allow one to construct a composite expansion [5–10] uniformly valid in all these regions with the error of the order of $\varepsilon^{2/5}$; see Appendix B.

Comparison of the above asymptotic solution with results of numerical calculations is shown in Figs. 3-5. While analyzing Figs. 3b-3d, it should be kept in mind that $\Phi_5 = -V_5$, which follows from Eqs. (35) and (37). Dotted lines in Figs. 3 and 4 represent the data given by Eqs. (55), (56), and (58). Values of the ion speed in the un-illuminated region shown in Fig. 5 have been taken at points where $dV/d\xi$ attains the minimum value except in the case $\varepsilon = 0.99 \times 10^{-5}$, where variation of the ion speed in the un-illuminated region was below the usual floating-point precision.

One can see the deviation between the asymptotic and numerical results is reasonably small and decreases with decreasing ε.

4 Cylindrical geometry

One needs to consider the same four asymptotic zones as in the case of plane geometry. A composite first-approximation solution uniformly valid from the illuminated plasma to the double layer to the un-illuminated plasma is governed by Eq. (11) with $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\beta = 1$:

$$\frac{V^2 - 1}{V} \frac{dV}{d\xi} = -\frac{2}{\xi} \left(V^2 + 1\right) H(1 - \xi) + \frac{1}{\xi}. \tag{45}$$

A continuous single-valued solution of this equation may be written in the implicit form:

$$\xi = \begin{cases} \frac{3^{3/4}V}{(2V^2+1)^{3/4}} & \text{for} \quad V \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{V}\exp\frac{V^2-1}{2} & \text{for} \quad V \geq 1 \end{cases} . \tag{46}$$

One can see that $V(1) = 1$, i.e., the sonic point is positioned at the edge of the illuminated plasma, as in the planar case. The difference is that the solution (46) is obtained without invoking the Bohm criterion; note that Eq. (45) admits continuous solutions with $V(1) \neq 1$, however these solutions are multi-valued. Another difference is that $V > 1$ for $\xi > 1$, i.e., the plasma continues to be accelerated in the un-illuminated plasma.

Most formulas of Sec. 3.3 referring to the double layer may be readily generalized in order to become applicable to both plane and cylindrical geometries. In particular, this includes replacing the first term on the rhs of Eq. (32) with $-(2+\beta)(2+2\beta)^{-1}\ln(2+\beta)$ and writing Eq. (38) in the form

$$V_5^2 = \frac{d^2V_5}{d\xi_5^2} - 2(1+\beta)\xi_5 H(-\xi_5) + \beta\xi_5 + C_2^2. \tag{47}$$

A major difference between the functions $V_5(\xi_5)$ for plane and cylindrical geometries is in their asymptotic behavior for $\xi_5 \to \infty$: for $\beta = 1$ the latter is governed by the term $\beta\xi_5$ on the rhs of Eq. (47) and reads $V_5 \approx \sqrt{\xi_5}$, which is similar to the first relation in (39) rather than (40). Note that this difference does not lead to significantly different behavior of the normalized electric field and space-charge density, $dV_5/d\xi_5$ and $dV_5^2/d\xi_5^2$: both tend to zero as $\xi_5 \to \infty$, although algebraically rather than exponentially as in plane geometry.

Expansions (14)-(17) and (22)-(25), describing regions of, respectively, illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas, remain applicable in cylindrical geometry except that α_2 becomes equal to $\varepsilon^{2/5}$.

5 Concluding discussion

The four zones with different physics revealed by numerical calculations and shown in Fig. 1, that is, the illuminated plasma, the double layer, the un-illuminated plasma, and the near-wall space-charge sheath appear in a natural way in the course of application of the method of matched asymptotic expansions. The first-order terms of asymptotic expansions of V, N_i, and Φ describing the illuminated plasma, the double layer, and the un-illuminated plasmas represent the same quasi-neutral solution that was found in [1] by patching solutions for the illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas and (in plane geometry) invoking the Bohm criterion. The second-order terms are of the order of $\varepsilon^{2/5}$ in the illuminated plasma; $\varepsilon^{1/5}$ in the double layer; and $\varepsilon^{1/5}$ in the un-illuminated plasma in plane geometry and $\varepsilon^{2/5}$ in cylindrical geometry. It is interesting to note that these orders explain why the quasi-neutral solution for V, N_i, and Φ in Fig. 1 of this work and Figs. 2 and 3 of [1] is more accurate in the illuminated plasma than in the double layer

and why its accuracy in the un-illuminated plasma is comparable to that in the double layer in plane geometry and in the illuminated plasma in cylindrical geometry.

The double layer separating the illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas is quasi-neutral: the separation of charges here is of the order of $\varepsilon^{2/5}$, i.e., asymptotically small, although much bigger than in the illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas. The net integral space charge (per unit cross section) of the double layer is asymptotically small, which can be readily seen: in the first approximation it is proportional to $dV_5/d\xi_5|_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and equals zero by virtue of boundary conditions (39) and (40). However, in plane geometry the net integral space charge of the double layer is just sufficient to shield the ambipolar electric field existing in the illuminated region and thus to prevent it from penetrating the un-illuminated region. The effect of the ionization friction force [the second term on the rhs of Eq. (2)] is as well asymptotically small in the double layer, therefore the full energy of an ion is conserved as reflected by the relationship $V_5 + \Phi_5 = 0$.

The double layer has the same mathematical nature as the intermediate transition layer separating an active plasma and a collisionless sheath, which was introduced in [16, 17] and revisited in, e.g., [15,18,21–23]. The underlying physics is also the same and is related to the passage of the ion fluid through the sonic barrier [18]. In essence, the two layers represent the same physical object: the transonic layer, which in one case assumes the form of a double layer separating the illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas and in the other case the form of a (positive-charge) transition layer separating the plasma and a collisionless sheath.

In the form of transition layer separating the plasma and a collisionless sheath, the transonic layer is difficult to identify in results of numerical solution of a full problem. In fact, some researchers even believe that the transition layer is merely an artifact produced by the method of matched asymptotic expansions. However, the transonic layer at the edge of illuminated plasma, having the form of a double layer, is easily identifiable; another proof that the transonic layer is distinguished by specific physical processes and is therefore not less real than, e.g., the near-wall space-charge sheath.

The normalized ion speed in the un-illuminated plasma in plane geometry given by the asymptotic analysis equals $1 + C_2\varepsilon^{1/5}$, where $C_2 = 0.6714$. Given that $V_1(\xi)$ equals 1 when extrapolated to $\xi = 1$, a natural interpretation is that the ion fluid is accelerated up to 1 (the Bohm speed) in the illuminated plasma and from 1 to $1 + C_2\varepsilon^{1/5}$ in the double layer. A similar interpretation applies to potential: the potential difference across the illuminated plasma equals $-\ln 2$ and the potential difference across the double layer is $-C_2\varepsilon^{1/5}$.

The double layers in plane and cylindrical geometries are not qualitatively different as far as distributions of the electric field and space-charge densities are concerned. However, distributions of the ion speed are significantly different: the ion fluid in the double layer is continually accelerated in cylindrical geometry. This is a consequence of the appearance in the case $\beta = 1$ of an additional term (ξ_5) on the rhs of Eq. (47), which governs distribution of ion speed in the double layer. This difference may look somewhat surprising: the double layer is asymptotically thin, i.e., locally planar, so how can its curvature affect its structure? Note, however, that this is not the only weak effect affecting the double layer:

the ionization and separation of charges also play a role; cf. the first and second terms on the rhs of Eq. (47). The reason for the latter was discussed in [18] and applies to the curvature effect as well: the balance of forces acting over the ion fluid is delicate in the vicinity of the sonic point since the main effects (inertia force and the electrostatic force) cancel; therefore, the weak effects (ionization, curvature, and separation of charges) also play a role. One should stress in this connection that Eq. (47), describing acceleration of the ion fluid in the double layer, appears in the second approximation rather than in the first one.

In plane geometry, the ions enter the near-wall space-charge sheath with a speed that equals the Bohm speed in the first approximation in ε. In other words, the Bohm criterion is satisfied with the equality sign, which is a usual situation, and in the first approximation the near-wall sheath is the usual Bohm sheath. In other words, the first term of the asymptotic expansion describing the sheath in the considered problem is exactly the same as in situations involving an active plasma and a collisionless sheath, for example, in the problem treated in [17], or in a situation which would have occurred in the problem considered here if the whole plasma were illuminated. However, the second terms of the asymptotic expansions describing the sheath in this problem and in usual situations should be different, which is due to the different behavior of the second-order term in the asymptotic zone adjacent to the sheath: in this problem, the second-order term of expansion of V in the un-illuminated plasma is constant (and equal to $C_2\varepsilon^{1/5}$), while in usual situations the second-order term of expansion of V in the transition layer has a pole at the wall. Thus, the near-wall space-charge sheath in the plane partially illuminated plasma is the usual Bohm sheath to the first approximation in ε but not to the second approximation. In cylindrical geometry, the ions enter the sheath with a speed exceeding the Bohm speed. In other words, the Bohm criterion is "oversatisfied"; a situation which was known to occur only in a few artificial models [11].

It has been known for many decades that a (quasi-neutral) presheath, in which the ion fluid is accelerated up to the Bohm speed, must involve at least one of the following three mechanisms: ion-atom collisions, ionization, and multidimensional effects; e.g., [11]. As far as ion-atom collisions and ionization are concerned, this result is somehow counterintuitive: in terms of ion momentum both ion-atom collisions and ionization represent a friction force, and why should a friction force be needed for acceleration?

An explanation of this paradox is as follows. Of course, the ion fluid is accelerated by the electrostatic force while a friction force has a decelerating effect; cf. Eq. (2). On the other hand, the electrostatic force in a collisionless plane subsonic quasi-neutral plasma with frozen ionization would exceed the ion inertia force and the ion momentum balance cannot be ensured; cf. Eq. (5) with the rhs dropped. In other words, a friction force, while not being the reason of acceleration of a plane subsonic ion flow under conditions of quasi-neutrality, is its necessary attribute. The problem of plane partially illuminated plasma offers a remarkable illustration of this statement: the ion flow is accelerated in the illuminated plasma, where a friction force due to ionization is present; there is no friction force in the un-illuminated plasma - and therefore no acceleration.

In cylindrical geometry the rhs of Eq. (45) in the illuminated region equals $-\frac{2}{\xi}V^2 - \frac{1}{\xi}$

and is negative. In the un-illuminated plasma the rhs of Eq. (45) equals $1/\xi$ and is positive. In other words, cylindrical geometry provides a retarding effect in the subsonic region and the ion flow is accelerated due to the presence of the ionization friction force. The sonic point occurs where the ionization friction force disappears. Cylindrical geometry provides an accelerating effect in the supersonic region.

The possibility of experimental testing was discussed in the first part of this work [1]. In this connection, relevant is the case where the ionization profile is not described by the Heaviside function and decays smoothly. Asymptotic structure of the solution will remain the same in this case provided that the decay is fast (occurs on a length scale much smaller than widths of both illuminated and un-illuminated plasmas). In particular, there will be a quasi-neutral double layer, where the sonic transition occurs. The theory of the double layer developed in this work will remain applicable if length scale of the decay is much smaller than $\varepsilon^{2/5}\Delta$.

Acknowledgments The authors appreciate stimulating discussions with R. N. Franklin and J. E. Allen. Work at Universidade da Madeira was supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia of Portugal through the projects PTDC/FIS-PLA/2708/2012 and PEst-OE/MAT/UI0219/2011.

A Finding solution for the plane double layer

In the region $\xi_5 > 0$, Eq. (38) does not explicitly depend on the independent variable (is autonomous) and admits an analytical solution, which may be found as follows. Multiplying this equation by $dV_5/d\xi_5$ and integrating, one finds

$$\frac{V_5^3}{3} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dV_5}{d\xi_5}\right)^2 + C_2^2 V_5 + C_4, \tag{48}$$

where C_4 is an integration constant. Solving this equation for $(dV_5/d\xi_5)^{-1}$, choosing the sign in the square root with the use of the assumption that $dV_5/d\xi_5 > 0$ and integrating, one obtains the desired solution in an implicit form

$$\int_{C_5}^{V_5} \frac{dV_5}{\left(V_5^3 - 3C_2^2 V_5 - 3C_4\right)^{1/2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\xi_5, \tag{49}$$

where C_5 is a new integration constant. Since the solution should exist for all positive values of ξ_5, the integral on the lhs of Eq. (49) should diverge at a certain value of V_5. The latter value should be finite, since the integral converges as $V_5 \to \infty$, and should represents the double root of the cubic polynomial in the parentheses in the denominator. Such a root exists provided that $C_4 = -2C_2^3/3$ and equals C_2. Then the integral may be evaluated analytically and the solution may be transformed to an explicit form:

$$V_5 = 3C_2 \tanh^2\left(\sqrt{\frac{C_2}{2}}\xi_5 + \operatorname{arctanh}\sqrt{\frac{C_5 + 2C_2}{3C_2}}\right) - 2C_2. \tag{50}$$

The solution involves two integration constants, C_2 and C_5, which have the meaning $C_2 = V_5(\infty)$ and $C_5 = V_5(0)$. It should be the case that $C_2 > 0$, $-2C_2 < C_5 < C_2$.

Let us now consider Eq. (38) in the region $\xi_5 \leq 0$. The boundary condition at $\xi_5 = 0$ is expressed by Eq. (48) with $C_4 = -2C_2^3/3$; we recall that not only $V_5(\xi_5)$ but also $dV_5(\xi_5)/d\xi_5$ are continuous at $\xi_5 = 0$ as discussed in Sec. 3.3. Another boundary condition is obtained by matching two terms of the expansion (29) with the first term of the expansion (14) and reads

$$\xi_5 \to -\infty : \quad V_5 = -\sqrt{-2\xi_5} + \dots . \tag{51}$$

Note that the problem (38), (48), (51) coincides with the problem describing the intermediate transition layer separating active plasma and a collisionless sheath [17] except for a different boundary condition at $\xi_5 = 0$. Another substantial difference is in the way in which Eq. (38) was derived: in [17] it was derived by means of invoking the next (third) term of the asymptotic expansion (29)–(32), while in this work it was derived in a straightforward way from Eq. (11); see discussion in [18].

Constant C_2 may be eliminated from Eq. (38) by means of substitution $\xi_5 = X + C_2^2/2$:

$$\frac{d^2V_5}{dX^2} = V_5^2 + 2X. \tag{52}$$

Note that this equation coincides with the first Painlevé equation (e.g., [24, 25]) to the accuracy of transformation $X = 3^{1/5}\tilde{X}$, $V_5 = 2 \times 3^{3/5}Y$.

Asymptotic behavior for $X \to -\infty$ of solutions of Eq. (52) satisfying the matching condition (51) may be found to be

$$\begin{aligned} V_5 = &-(-2X)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{8X^2} + \dots + \frac{C_6}{(-X)^{1/8}} \exp\left[i\frac{2^{11/4}}{5}(-X)^{5/4}\right](1 + \dots) \\ &+ \frac{C_7}{(-X)^{1/8}} \exp\left[-i\frac{2^{11/4}}{5}(-X)^{5/4}\right](1 + \dots), \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where C_6 and C_7 are arbitrary constants. The last two terms on the rhs of this expression are oscillatory and have to be eliminated in order that the asymptotic matching be possible beyond the first order; i.e., one should set $C_6 = C_7 = 0$. Thus, the proper boundary condition for Eq. (52) is given by Eq. (53) with $C_6 = C_7 = 0$ and this boundary condition specifies a unique solution of Eq. (52). Note that this conclusion coincides with the corresponding conclusion in [17], although Eq. (53) is not exactly the same.

A convenient way of numerically finding the function V_5 for $\xi_5 \leq 0$ is as follows: Eq. (52) is integrated with the initial condition $V_5 = -(-2X)^{1/2} - 1/8X^2$ from a large negative X in the direction of increasing X until the condition (48) written in the form

$$\frac{V_5^3}{3} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{dV_5}{dX}\right)^2 - 2XV_5 - \frac{2}{3}(-2X)^{3/2}. \tag{54}$$

has been met. The value $X = X_0$ at which the latter happens corresponds to $\xi_5 = 0$, so one can find the constants $C_2 = \sqrt{-2X_0}$ and $C_5 = V_5(X_0)$.

This calculation results in the function $V_5(X)$ shown in Fig. 1 by the solid line with $X_0 = -0.2254$, $C_2 = 0.6714$, $C_5 = -0.9262$. Also shown in Fig. 1 is the dependence $V_5(X)$ in the range $X \geq X_0$, described by Eq. (50); the dash-dotted line. The dashed line represents data obtained when the numerical calculations described in the previous paragraph are not stopped when Eq. (54) has been satisfied but rather continue until a pole has been encountered; a procedure identical to the one employed in [17]. These data refer to the transition layer separating active plasma and a collisionless sheath. The dotted lines represent asymptotic behavior described by the first two terms on the rhs of Eq. (53) and by expressions $V_5 = 0.6714$ and $V_5 = 6(X - X_1)^{-2}$, where X_1 is the position of the pole evaluated as $3^{1/5}$ times the value 2.384 determined numerically in [17].

B Composite asymptotic expansion

The composite expansion of the ion velocity uniformly valid in the illuminated plasma region to the double layer to the un-illuminated region is obtained by adding the expansion (29) and the first term of the expansion (14) and subtracting the common part:

$$V(\xi,\varepsilon) = \frac{\xi}{1+\sqrt{1-\xi^2}} + \left[1 + \varepsilon^{1/5} V_5(\xi_5)\right] - \left[1 - \sqrt{2(1-\xi)}\right], \tag{55}$$

where the first and third terms on the rhs should be discarded for $\xi > 1$. In the illuminated plasma, the second and third terms on the rhs of Eq. (55) virtually cancel and the first term is dominating as it should; in the double layer the first and third terms virtually cancel or are discarded and the second term is dominating as it should; in the un-illuminated plasma the first and third terms are discarded and $V = 1 + C_2\varepsilon^{1/5}$, again as it should be. Error of Eq. (55) is of the order of $\varepsilon^{2/5}$ from the illuminated plasma to the double layer to the un-illuminated plasma.

The composite expansion of potential of the same accuracy is obtained in a similar way and reads

$$\Phi(\xi,\varepsilon) = \ln\frac{1+\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}{2} + \left[-\ln 2 - \varepsilon^{1/5} V_5(\xi_5)\right] - \left[-\ln 2 + \sqrt{2(1-\xi)}\right]. \tag{56}$$

The first-approximation composite expansion of the electric field is obtained by differentiating Eq. (56) and reads

$$-\frac{d\Phi}{d\xi} = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}+1-\xi^2} + \varepsilon^{-1/5}\frac{dV_5(\xi_5)}{d\xi_5} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-\xi)}}. \tag{57}$$

Relative error of this formula in the double layer is of the order of $\varepsilon^{1/5}$.

A deficiency of Eq. (57) is a discontinuity at $\xi = 1$, which stems from the limit for $\xi \to 1 - 0$ of the sum of the first and third term on the rhs being non-zero (it equals -1). This discontinuity is of the order $\varepsilon^{1/5}$ relative to the main term and disappears in the next approximation. Imagine, for example, that the second term of the expansion of

the electric field in the double layer [i.e., the term associated with the third term of the expansion (32)] is $-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\tanh\left(\sqrt{\frac{C_2}{2}}\xi_5\right)$. Then the composite expansion of the electric field would be

$$-\frac{d\Phi}{d\xi}=\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}+1-\xi^2}+\left[\varepsilon^{-1/5}\frac{dV_5\left(\xi_5\right)}{d\xi_5}\ -\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\tanh\left(\sqrt{\frac{C_2}{2}}\xi_5\right)\right]-\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\left(1-\xi\right)}}-1\right]. \tag{58}$$

Relative error of this formula in the double layer would be of the order of $\varepsilon^{2/5}$ and the rhs of Eq. (58) is continuous.

In reality, Eq. (58) is of course no more accurate than Eq. (57): while the second term of the expansion of the electric field in the double layer is absent from Eq. (57), in (58) it is taken into account in a form which can be only qualitatively correct at best. However, Eq. (58) gives a continuous distribution of the electric field and is therefore preferable for illustrative purposes.

References

[1] R. N. Franklin, J. E. Allen, D. M. Thomas, and M. S. Benilov, Phys. Plasmas **20**, 123508 (2013).

[2] A. D. R. Phelps and J. E. Allen, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **348**, 221 (1976).

[3] M. S. Benilov and L. G. Benilova, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **43**, 345204 (12pp) (2010).

[4] D. Bohm, in *The Characteristics of Electrical Discharges in Magnetic Fields*, edited by A. Guthrie and R. K. Wakerling (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1949), pp. 77–86.

[5] M. van Dyke, *Perturbation Methods in Fluid Mechanics* (Parabolic Press, Stanford, CA, USA, 1975).

[6] J. D. Cole, *Perturbation Methods in Applied Mathematics* (Blaisdell, Waltham, 1968).

[7] A. H. Nayfeh, *Perturbation Methods* (Wiley, New York, 1973).

[8] A. H. Nayfeh, *Introduction to Perturbation Techniques* (Wiley, New York, 1981).

[9] J. Kevorkian and J. D. Cole, *Perturbation Methods in Applied Mathematics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981).

[10] A. H. Nayfeh, *Problems in Perturbation* (Wiley, New York, 1985).

[11] K.-U. Riemann, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **24**, 493 (1991).

[12] R. N. Franklin, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **36**, R309 (2003).

[13] J. E. Allen, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **18**, 014004 (2009).

[14] M. S. Benilov, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **18**, 014005 (14pp) (2009).

[15] K.-U. Riemann, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. **18**, 014006 (10pp) (2009).

[16] S. H. Lam, Phys. Fluids **8**, 73 (1965).

[17] R. N. Franklin and J. R. Ockendon, J. Plasma Phys. **4**, 371 (1970).

[18] N. A. Almeida and M. S. Benilov, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 073514 (2012).

[19] M. S. Benilov and R. N. Franklin, J. Plasma Phys. **62**, 541 (1999).

[20] M. S. Benilov, Phys. Plasmas **7**, 135 (2000).

[21] K.-U. Riemann, Phys. Plasmas **4**, 4158 (1997).

[22] I. D. Kaganovich, Phys. Plasmas **9**, 4788 (2002).

[23] K.-U. Riemann, J. Seebacher, D. D. Tskhakaya Sr, and S. Kuhn, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **47**, 1949 (2005).

[24] H. T. Davis, *Introduction to Nonlinear Differential and Integral Equations* (Dover, N. Y., 1962).

[25] A. D. Polyanin and A. V. Manzhirov, *Handbook of Mathematics for Engineers and Scientists* (Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2007).



Fig. 1. Distributions of the ion speed and electric field. Solid: numerical calculations, $S = 2$, $\varepsilon = 0.89 \times 10^{-4}$. Dotted: patching.



Fig. 2. Asymptotic solution for distribution of ion speed in the vicinity of the sonic barrier. Plane geometry. Solid + dash-dotted: double layer at the edge of illuminated plasma. Solid + dashed: transition layer separating active plasma and a collisionless sheath. Dotted: asymptotic behavior for large $|X|$.



Fig. 3. Distributions of normalized parameters in the double layer: the ion speed (a), the potential (b), the electric field (c), and the density of space charge (d). Solid: numerical calculations for $S = 2$ and $\varepsilon = 1.26 \times 10^{-3}$ (line 1) or 0.99×10^{-5} (2). Dashed: second-order term of the asymptotic expansion describing the double layer. Dotted: composite expansion.



Fig. 4. Distributions of ion speed in the double layer and the un-illuminated plasma. Solid: numerical calculations for $S = 2$ and $\varepsilon = 1.26 \times 10^{-3}$ (line 1), 0.99×10^{-5} (2), 0.89×10^{-4} (3). Dotted: composite expansion.



Fig. 5. Ion speed in the un-illuminated plasma. Line: asymptotic solution. Points: numerical calculations.